

discussed with Melissa Seagro of FES who remained intentionally obtuse to the digital forensics evidence [REDACTED]. (Discussed further below.)

4. That the Probate Court "expunged" the bogus probate warrant so that, "the case never existed."

These were the direct words of Heather, the Probate Court staffer who fielded the call of Defendant, Pro Se.

When Defendant brought this to Your Honor's attention, you Honor indicated that was not her department, and that if I had issue with the destruction of evidence, I needed to Appeal the Probate Court. This of course was an impossibility because the case had been expunged. In other words, there was nothing to appeal because there was no case. Defendant was just incarcerated without trail or evidence.

5. To defend himself against the mental illness allegations, Defendant motioned Your Honor for a copy of Plaintiff's Affidavit submitted to the Probate Court in accordance with the Affiant process of ORC 5122.11 (Exhibit K.) This motion was denied as an "improper discovery request" (Exhibit B). While at the same time, Your Honor was considering Defendant "non-cooperative" for refusing to release the medical records from St. Vincent's Charity Hospital.

In other words, Your Honor wanted to see the medical records resulting from [REDACTED], but not allow the Defendant the ability to examine the [REDACTED] affidavit submitted to generate the medical records in the first place. Your Honor was interested in effect without questioning cause.

6. With no case upon which to appeal, Defendant filed grievance for ethics violations with the Office of Disciplinary Counsel against the Probate Court Judges responsible for the illegal incarceration. (Exhibit L.) In response the Office of Disciplinary Counsel indicated there was no evidence these Judges acted with professional misconduct (Exhibit M).

In other words, Probate Judges in Cuyahoga County, Ohio can incarcerate citizens in violation of

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
DOMESTIC RELATIONS DIVISION
CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO

ALLISON HORNER	:	CASE NO. DR 20 382383
	:	
Plaintiff	:	JUDGE TONYA R. JONES
	:	
-VS-	:	
	:	
WILLIAM HORNER	:	<u>DEFENDANT'S ELECTION OF NON</u>
	:	<u>COOPERATION IN PEACEFUL PROTEST</u>
Defendant	:	
	:	

Now comes Defendant, **WILLIAM HORNER**, by Pro se representation, and respectfully elects the course of non-cooperation with this Honorable Court regarding the release of private HIPPA protected medical information.

Your Honor has cited the Ohio Supreme Court and stated that a parent's "mental health can and is used to determine parental rights and responsibilities." However, Your Honor was moot on how the Plaintiff's [REDACTED] of the Defendant's mental health and invasion of Defendant's medical privacy effects this determination. Instead, Your Honor simply stated She would find Defendant's refusal to turn over the contested medical records as "non-cooperation" on the part of Defendant.

In an attempt to cooperate with the divorce process up to this point, Defendant has been hauled out of his attorney's office by tactically armed police officers without an Order while quoting the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution and his Miranda rights, been assaulted by Police through an illegal search, has been illegally detained in handcuffs in the back of a tactical police cruiser

Exhibit A.

M-16 prominently displayed center console in intimidation, been involuntarily incarcerated in a mental institution in violation of the Ohio Revised Code 5122.05 (C) (2) and (3) after his HIPPA information was illegally provided to Plaintiff, has been told by a Cleveland Clinic medical provider if he doesn't take anti-psychotic medication he doesn't need and doesn't agree with he will never see his kids again, has met regularly with a mental health professional to provide independent evaluation for the Court which is being ignored, and has engaged Ms. Seagro, the Director of the FES department in good faith whom has shown a repeated unwillingness to forensically evaluate evidence. Despite these heinous affronts to civil liberties and due process, the Defendant has cooperated every step of the way, many times under peaceful protest, often with bona fide evidence in hand.

In opposition to these facts, Plaintiff who is asserting Defendant is mentally unfit to see his children, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]. For those of us keeping score, during the eight months of this divorce the Plaintiff has submitted the following [REDACTED] evidence regarding Defendant's mental health:

1. Defendant being suspended from Step 2 LLC for a mental health issue. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
2. Defendant "thinking he isn't a real person and is a simulated character". [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Plaintiff took commonly used data science/cybersecurity jargon out of context from a [REDACTED] private email between Defendant and his employer.
3. Defendant stealing guns from his Parents. [REDACTED]
4. Defendant making violent threats against Police. Defendant has proven this false through his cooperation under peaceful protest with the Officers who failed to produce the Order and illegally searched and detained him.

I was very clear. I cannot force you to sign authorizations to release records. That is your choice. If I cannot conduct the evaluation in the absence of records which speak to the best interest standards, I inform the court. There is no negotiation.

In the spirit of cooperation, let us discuss what your role is in all this. I understand you are the Director of Family Evaluation Services and your "job" is to evaluate our family to determine what is in the children's best interest. Respectfully ma'am, that has not been happening. Up until now, all that has been happening is Easton's mental health and medical cannabis use has been persecuted over baseless accusations. That ends now.

My role is to conduct a Forensic Evaluation for the purposes of Allocation of Parental Rights and Responsibilities. Within such, I will need to examine all contributing dynamics to the same as identified in the best interest standards.

Ms. Seagro, for the last seven months [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] has been using this insider information to further inflame my PTSD condition. She has been a Peeping Tom on intimate and highly sexual messages between me and my new girlfriend demonstrating the most disgusting forms of perversion. And even after admitting to all this in our session on March 25th and my clear outrage at the information coming to light, you continued interrogating me and putting forth that I am unfit to parent the children? Respectfully ma'am...how dare you.

Incorrect. There was no "interrogation" and I did not opine on the concept of "...unfit..." parenting capacity. Further, I have not been made aware of breaches of HIPPA as a result of [REDACTED]. I am aware the other party had been reviewing text message conversations that you had with other individuals. The only other individual mentioned was your mother.

For the past seven months, this case has been conducted under the assumption that Easton has mental health challenges associated with PTSD. Allison's transgressions change this narrative. Ms. Seagro, I am a highly trained security analyst [REDACTED]. The past seven months have not been unstable PTSD incidents, but rather prescience. Those paranoia questions doctors ask as part of an evaluation into mental stability like, "do you feel as though you are being followed?" take on a whole new meaning when the patient is in fact being stalked. The patient is no longer crazy, rather they are self-aware. In other words Ms. Seagro, my mental health was not failing over the past seven months, rather my security instincts were working perfectly.

Then we come to the St. Vincent's medical records you say you need to effectively do your job. Ms. Seagro, I have attached the only known copy of the probate order which was retrieved from the Westlake Police Department and it is not in order. Magistrate signatures are missing, timestamps are discrepant, the order has clearly been cobbled together from multiple sources and copies after the fact all to make it look like a legitimate order. This evidence is clearly tainted, and a pure source must be re-obtained from the well.

Signatures and time stamps appear intact on the attachment.

Unfortunately, the Probate Court's expungement of this case and the destruction of all its records make this impossible. Therefore, the St. Vincent medical records will remain closed as part of this expungement process. I understand how this might make it difficult for you to effectively do your job, and I commiserate with this difficulty. However, this is not me being "non-cooperative" madam. I was not the one who expunged the case and destroyed the records, the Probate Court did. If you cannot do your job because of this, you need to take it up with them and not blame me for it.

As stated, I have the records from St. Vincent's and have not been aware of "expungement." Regardless, you withdrew your authorization to review such records. As such, I cannot review them without you authorizing this by signing an additional release.

Finally, let us get down to the medical cannabis. First, I resent your continued declarations of this being "substance abuse" or my using "illicit substances." Respectfully madam, medical cannabis is only "illicit" in 14 States in the Union. Unfortunately, Tennessee happens to be one of them. However, am I really to believe that my geographical location is what is making me an unfit Father in this Court's eyes? Are you honestly saying that if I lived in Denver instead of Morristown I'd have access to my children?

Again, to date, I have not opined in terms of your self-reported use of cannabis.

[REDACTED]

Part of the evaluation process is to interview all alternate care givers. With all do respect, you do not have information to lead you to your conclusion that I have or have not interviewed alternate care givers at this time.

Now, how can I further facilitate and cooperate with your investigation so that I may access my children?

12/17/21, 10:17 AM

Gmail - DR20-382383 Horner WE cooperation with FES investigation...

employer because the Plaintiff had completely eroded it by having me involuntarily committed to St. Vincent's [REDACTED]

[Quoted text hidden]

 20201102.motion.for.temp.support.pdf
443K

Melissa Seagro <mseagro@cuyahogacounty.us>
To: Easton Horner <willamehomer@gmail.com>

Mon, Apr 12, 2021 at 11:50 AM

Good Morning,

As I stated, I will need authorization from you to receive records from all mental health providers including your treatment at the Cleveland Clinic and St. Vincent Charity. Without such documentation, I will not be able to move forward with the evaluation. I understand that you feel the historical mental health records are not pertinent; however, I disagree and they are relevant to my investigation.

So you are aware, forensic evaluations will not take into consideration financial matters before the court.

Should you provide the authorization, I will certainly move forward with the evaluation. At that time, I will speak with your current mental health provider and hear more about your concerns [REDACTED] (other than her admission that she has reviewed text message communication between you and other individuals).

Best,

[Quoted text hidden]

Easton Horner <willamehomer@gmail.com>
To: Melissa Seagro <mseagro@cuyahogacounty.us>

Tue, Apr 13, 2021 at 10:04 AM

Very Good Ms. Seagro,

I will notify Judge Jones at tomorrow's hearing that you are unable to complete your investigation because the Probate Court deleted the records. I'm sure Your Honor will have a solution.

And Ms. Seagro, I wasn't presenting a financial matter with the attached affidavit, I was presenting evidence of perjury. I believe you knew that Ms. Seagro.

Kindly,
Easton

[Quoted text hidden]